WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1. A method for the fourth-order, blind identification of at least two sources in a system comprising a number of sources P and a number N of reception sensors receiving the observations, said sources having different tri-spectra, wherein the method comprises at least the following steps:
- a) a step for the fourth-order whitening of the observations received on the reception sensors in order to orthonormalize the direction vectors of the sources in the matrices of quadricovariance of the observations used,
- b) a step for the joint diagonalizing of several whitened matrices of quadricovariance (step a) in order to identify the spatial signatures of the sources.
- 2. A method according to claim 1, wherein the observations used correspond to the time-domain averaged matrices of quadricovariance defined by:

$$Q_{x}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2},\tau_{3}) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} c_{p}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2},\tau_{3}) (a_{p} \otimes a_{p}^{*}) (a_{p} \otimes a_{p}^{*})^{H}$$
(4a)

$$= A_Q C_s(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) A_Q^{H}$$
 (4b)

where A_Q is a matrix with a dimension $(N^2 \times P)$ defined by $A_Q = [(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1^*), \ldots, (\boldsymbol{\alpha}_p \otimes \boldsymbol{\alpha}_p^*)]$, $C_s(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ is a diagonal matrix with a dimension $(P \times P)$ defined by $C_s(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = \text{diag}[c_1(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3), \ldots, c_p(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)]$ and $c_p(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ is defined by:

$$c_p(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) = \langle \text{Cum}(s_p(t), s_p(t - \tau_1)^*, s_p(t - \tau_2)^*, s_p(t - \tau_3)) \rangle$$
 (5)

- 3. A method according to claim 2, comprises at least the following steps:
- Step 1: the estimation, through Q_{x}^{*} , of the matrix Q_{x} , from the L observations $\kappa(lT_{e})$ using a non-skewed and asymptotically consistent estimator.
 - **Step 2:** the eigen-element decomposition of $Q_{,x}^{\land}$, the estimation of the number of sources P and the limiting of the eigen-element decomposition to

the P main components: $Q; ^{\land}_{x} \approx E; ^{\land}_{x} \Lambda; ^{\land}_{x} E; ^{\land}_{x^{H}}$, where $\Lambda; ^{\land}_{x}$ is the diagonal matrix containing the P eigenvalues with the highest modulus and $E; ^{\land}_{x}$ is the matrix containing the associated eigenvectors.

Step 3: the building of the whitening matrix: $T_i^{\land} = (\Lambda_i^{\land})^{-1/2} E_i^{\land} H_i$

5 **Step 4:** the selection of K triplets of delays $(\tau_1^k, \tau_2^k, \tau_3^k)$ where $|\tau_1^k| + |\tau_2^k| + |\tau_3^k| \neq 0$.

Step 5: the estimation, through $Q_{1}^{k}(\tau_{1}^{k}, \tau_{2}^{k}, \tau_{3}^{k})$, of the K matrices $Q_{X}(\tau_{1}^{k}, \tau_{2}^{k}, \tau_{3}^{k})$.

Step 6: the computation of the matrices T, Q, $^{^{\prime}}_{x}(\tau_{1}^{k}, \tau_{2}^{k}, \tau_{3}^{k})$ T, $^{^{\prime}}_{H}$ and the estimation, by U, $^{^{\prime}}_{sol}$, of the unitary matrix U_{sol} by the joint diagonalizing of the K matrices T, $^{^{\prime}}_{sol}$, Q, $^{^{\prime}}_{x}(\tau_{1}^{k}, \tau_{2}^{k}, \tau_{3}^{k})$ T, $^{^{\prime}}_{H}$

Step 7: the computation of $T_i^{*}U_{i,sol}^{*}=[\boldsymbol{b}_{i,sol}^$

Step 8: the estimation, through \underline{a} ; P, of the signatures a_q ($1 \le q \le P$) of the P sources in applying a decomposition into elements on each matrix B; P

4. A method according to claim 1 to 3, comprising at least one step for the evaluation of the quality of the identification of the associated direction vector in using a criterion such as:

$$D(A, \hat{A}) = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_P)$$
 (16)

where

$$\alpha_p = \min_{1 \le i \le P} \left[d(\boldsymbol{a}_p, \ \hat{\boldsymbol{a}}_i) \right] \tag{17}$$

and where d(u,v) is the pseudo-distance between the vectors u and v, such that:

$$d(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = 1 - \frac{\left|\boldsymbol{u}^{H}\boldsymbol{v}\right|^{2}}{\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{H}\boldsymbol{u}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{H}\boldsymbol{v}\right)}$$
(18)

- **5**. A method according to claim 1, comprising at least one step of fourth-order cyclical after the step a) of fourth-order whitening.
- **6.** A method according to claim 5, wherein the identification step is performed in using fourth-order statistics.

5

- 7. A method according to claim 1 wherein the number of sources P is greater than or equal to the number of sensors.
- **8.** A method according to claim 1, comprising at least one step of goniometry using the identified signature of the sources.
 - **9.** A method according to claim 1, comprising at least one step of spatial filtering after the identified sign ature of the sources.
- 15 **10.** A use of the method according to claim 1 in a communications network.